



District Legal Services Authority, Pune

जिल्हा विधी सेवा प्राधिकरण, पुणे

जिल्हा न्यायालय आवार, शिवाजीनगर, पुणे 411005
District Court Premises, Shivajinagar, Pune 411005
Phone No. 020-25534881, Mo. 8591903612
Email- pune-dlsa.mh@bhc.gov.in

Sonal S. Patil
Secretary,

जावक क्र. 832/२०२४

दिनांक : ०८/१०/२०२४

प्रति,

मा. अध्यक्ष,
पुणे बार असोसिएशन
जिल्हा न्यायालय,
पुणे.

विषय: जिल्हा विधी सेवा प्राधिकरण, पुणे अंतर्गत **Pro-Bono**
तत्वावर काम करण्यास इच्छुक असलेल्या विधिज्ञांची नावे
पाठविणेबाबत..

संदर्भ: मा. महाराष्ट्र राज्य विधी सेवा प्राधिकरण, मुंबई यांचे पत्र क्रं.
२९३४ दि. ३०/०९/२०२४.

मा. महोदय,

उपरोक्त संदर्भांकित विषयास अनुसरून आपणांस कळविण्यात येते की, मा. राष्ट्रीय विधी सेवा प्राधिकरण, नवी दिल्ली आणि मा. महाराष्ट्र राज्य विधी सेवा प्राधिकरण, उच्च न्यायालय, मुंबई यांचे निर्देशान्वये जिल्हा विधी सेवा प्राधिकरण, पुणे अंतर्गत Pro-Bono तत्वावर काम करण्यास इच्छुक असलेल्या विधिज्ञांची नावे सोबत दिलेल्या तक्त्याप्रमाणे पाठविण्यात यावी, ही विनंती.

अ.क्र.	विधिज्ञांची नावे	सनद क्रं.	मो. क्रं

आपली विश्वास,

Sonal
सचिव

जिल्हा विधी सेवा प्राधिकरण, पुणे.

Note-The Committee also suggests that District Judiciary/High Courts/Supreme Court can encourage these advocates by giving them merit certificates based upon their contributions to the pro-bono works done by them and such merit certificates could be utilized while Assessing their individual merits. The pro-bono work done by a lawyer may be taken into consideration for assessing his/her merit when they apply for any judicial posts, law officers post including appointment of High Court Judges and Supreme Court Judges.



राष्ट्रीय विधिक सेवा प्राधिकरण
NATIONAL LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY
(Constituted under the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987)

श्रेया अरोड़ा मेहता
SHREYA ARORAMEHTA
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
(Delhi Higher Judicial Service)
विशेष कार्य अधिकारी
OFFICER ON SPECIAL DUTY-I

B-Block, Ground Floor,
Additional Building Complex,
Supreme Court of India, New Delhi-110001

Ground Floor, Double Storey,
Jaisalmer House, 26, Mansingh Road,
New Delhi-110011

F.No. L/01/2024/NALSA

Dated 23.09.2024

To,

The Member Secretary
All the State Legal Services Authorities

Sub: Recommendations made qua the Role of Lawyers and Compensation in 143rd Report of the Department-Related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Personnel, Public Grievance, Law and Justice on "Review of the working of Legal Aid under the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987".

Respected Sir/Madam,

This is to apprise you that the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Departmental-Related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Personnel, Public Grievances, Law and Justice, on the subject "Review of the working of Legal Aid under the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987" presented its 143rd Report to the Rajya Sabha and Lok Sabha on 07.02.2024.

2. The Parliamentary Standing Committee, in its 143rd Report, observed that the current compensation structure for lawyers providing legal aid is inadequate, with nominal honorariums that fail to attract experienced professionals. This shortfall leaves a void in the quality of services available to underprivileged citizens.

3. The aforementioned report from Para 16 to 22 takes note of the deliberations and recommendations of the Committee qua the "Role of Lawyers and Compensation" and the recommendations read as under: -

"19. Therefore, the Committee is of the view that Bar Council of India should make mandatory appearance of every lawyer in at least in one pro bono work in a year to enable him to secure any relief fund from All India/State Bar Council.

20. Further, the Committee is also of the view that designated Senior Advocates in all High Courts/Supreme Court may come together to form a panel for doing pro-bono works and undertake such works. In each District Judiciary, High Courts and in Supreme Court, the Advocates / Bar Association can involve advocates in pro-bono works by rotation and Association can monitor the same.

21. The Committee also suggests that District Judiciary/High Courts/Supreme Court can encourage these advocates by giving them merit certificates based upon their contributions to the pro-bono works done by them and such merit certificates could be utilized while

P 2